2024:PHHC:153788-DB



CWP-31483-2024 (O&M)

#### Page 1 of 3

109

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**CWP-31483-2024 (O&M) Date of Decision: 22.11.2024** 

SHIKHAR GADH

.... Petitioner

Vs.

INCOME TAX OFFICER WARD-1, YAMUNA NAGAR

.... Respondent

\*\*\*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Chetan Jain, Advocate

Mr. Mahesh Kumar, Advocate (through VC)
Mr. Anand Chaudhuri, Advocate (through VC)

for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Kapoor, Sr. Standing Counsel with

Ms. Pridhi Sandhu, Jr. Standing Counsel

for the respondents/Revenue.

\*\*\*

### SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

- 1. Notice of motion.
- 2. Mr. Saurabh Kapoor, Sr. Standing Counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent/Revenue.
- 3. Both the counsel are *ad idem* that the issue involved in the present petition stands finally examined and concluded by this Court in *CWP*No.21509 of 2023 titled as Jasjit Singh vs. Union of India and others, decided on 29.07.2024, and by the Coordinate Bench in *CWP*No.15745 of 2024 titled as Jatinder Singh Bhangu vs. Union of India

2024:PHHC:153788-DB



CWP-31483-2024 (O&M)

#### Page 2 of 3

and others, decided on 19.07.2024. This Court in *Jasjit Singh* (supra) held as under:

- "16. We are in agreement with the view taken by the Coordinate Bench and hold that such circular or instructions by the Board could not have been issued to override statutory provisions or to make them otiose or obsolete. Legislative enactments having financial implications are required to be followed strictly and mandatorily. By exercising the powers contained in Sections 119 and 120 of the Act, 1961 as well as Section 144B (7 & 8), the authorities cannot be allowed to usurp the legal provisions to their own satisfaction and convenience causing hardship to the assessees. It also leaves confusion in the minds of the taxpayers. In the opinion of this Court, instructions and circulars can be issued only for the purpose of supplementing the statutory provisions and for their implementation.
- 17. In view of the aforesaid discussion, there is no occasion to distinguish or take a different view as suggested by the learned counsel for the revenue from what has already been held by the Coordinate Bench.
- 18. Keeping in view the law laid down by the Coordinate Bench (supra), notices issued by the JAO under Section 148 of the Act, 1961 and the proceedings initiated thereafter without conducting the faceless assessment as envisaged under Section 144B of the Act, 1961, have been found to be contrary to the provisions of the Act, 1961 and accordingly notices dated 28.02.2023, 16.03.2023, 20.03.2024 and

Neutral Citation No:=2024:PHHC:153788-DB

2024:PHHC:153788-DB



CWP-31483-2024 (O&M)

#### Page 3 of 3

30.03.2023 and order dated 30.03.2023, are set aside for want of jurisdiction.

- 19. The respondents-revenue would be, however, at liberty to follow the procedure as laid down under the Act, 1961 and proceed accordingly, if so advised.
- 20. All the writ petitions are allowed. The interim order passed by the Court shall stand merged with the present order."
- 4. Keeping in view above, we *allow* this Writ Petition in the aforesaid terms. The observations and order passed above shall apply *mutatis* mutandis to the present case. Accordingly, notice dated 30.08.2024 issued under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 by Jurisdictional Assessing Officer as well as the consequential proceedings are set aside.
- 5. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

## (SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA) JUDGE

(SANJAY VASHISTH) JUDGE

**November 22, 2024** 

Mohit goyal

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?

2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No Yes/No

