

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.06.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY

<u>W.P.No.13522 of 2024</u> and W.M.P.No.15947 of 2024

Tvl. Norton Granites & Properties (P) Ltd 10/18, Manikeswari Road, Kilpauk, Chennai – 600 010 Tamil Nadu.

... Petitioner

-VS-

The Assistant Commissioner (ST), Kilpauk Assessment Circle, Chennai 600 003.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, pleased to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the respondent relating to the impugned rejection order dated 24.11.2022 for the year 2018-19, in Application Reference Numbers AA331122014912 challenging the order passed by the respondent to quash the same as illegal and arbitrary and devoid of merit and consequentially direct the respondent herein to restore this

1/5



Hon'ble Court.

EB COPY For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sivakumar

For Respondent : Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik, AGP (T)

<u>ORDER</u>

The petitioner assails a rejection order dated 24.11.2022 for assessment period 2018-19. The petitioner had entered into an agreement for development / sale with KG Foundation Private Limited (KG Foundation). Under the said agreement, the property was to be developed by KG Foundation. In relation thereto, the petitioner states that KG Foundation collected GST at 18% in relation to the construction activities. On the basis that GST should have been collected at 5% and not at 18%, the present writ petition was filed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner paid GST at 18% to KG Foundation and, therefore, the application for refund is maintainable at the instance of the petitioner.





EB COPY 3. Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik, learned Additional Government Pleader, accepts notice for the respondent. He submits that the registered person under applicable GST enactments is KG Foundation and not the petitioner. Consequently, he submits that the refund application should have been filed by the registered person and not by the petitioner. He also points out that such application is required to be filed within two years as per Section 54 of applicable GST enactments.

> 4. GST is imposed on construction services on forward charge basis on the provider of services. In this case, services were provided by KG Foundation and not by the petitioner. Therefore, the contention of learned Additional Government Pleader is liable to be accepted. This writ petition is not maintainable at the instance of the petitioner.

5. For reasons aforesaid, W.P.No.13522 of 2024 is dismissed



WEB CO2024 is closed. It is needless to say that it is, however, open to the petitioner to initiate appropriate legal proceedings against KG Foundation in accordance with law.

12.06.2024

rna Index : Yes / No Internet : Yes / No Neutral Citation: Yes / No

То

The Assistant Commissioner (ST), Kilpauk Assessment Circle, Chennai 600 003.

SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY,J

rna





<u>W.P.No.13522 of 2024</u> and W.M.P.No.15947 of 2024



12.06.2024

5/5

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis