

M/s Prime Steel Industries Pvt. Ltd. vs. State of H.P. & others

## CWP No.2785 of 2024

03.04.2024 Present:

Mr. J.S. Bedi, Mr. Goverdhan Lal Sharma and Mr. Yashpal, Advocates, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Sushant Keprate, Additional Advocate General, for the respondents.

## CWP No.2785 of 2024 & CMP No.4311 of 2024

Mr. Sushant Keprate, learned Additional Advocate General, accepts service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

Notice.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the  $2^{nd}$ 

respondent had issued show cause notice (Annexures P-1 & P-2)

under form GST DRC-01 without serving notice under Rule 99 in

form GST ASMT-10. He also placed reliance on the judgment of the Gauhati High Court in *M/s Pepsico India Holdings Pvt. Ltd.* vs. *Union of India*, WP(C)/6960/2023 dt. 13.12.2023, wherein interim order has been issued in similar circumstances.

The Additional Advocate General admits that prior to issuance of the form GST DRC-01 dt. 16.02.2024 and 28.02.2024 to the petitioner, the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent had not issued a notice form GST ASMT-10.

A reading of Rule 99 of CGST Rules, 2017, makes it clear that the issuance of notice to the petitioner in form GST ASMT-10, prior to issuance of a show cause notice, is mandatory. Therefore, there shall be stay of all further proceedings pursuant to Annexures P-1 & P-2.

List on 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2024.

Reply be filed by the said date.

( M.S. Ramachandra Rao ) Chief Justice

> ( Jyotsna Rewal Dua ) Judge

April 03, 2024 (vt)