

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23505-2021

Date of decision: 23.11.2021

Subway Systems India Private Limited and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Abhishek A. Rastogi, Advocate with
Mr. Mahir Chablani, Advocate and
Ms. Aarti Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

(Through Video Conferencing)

AJAY TEWARI, J (ORAL)

By this petition, the petitioner has challenged the summons issued to it on various grounds.

The primary contention of learned counsel before us is that in response to the first summon served, the petitioner had submitted that it would require two weeks to appear with all the material but without giving any breathing time, further summons were being issued.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sunish Bindlish, Senior Standing Counsel with Mr. Gagandeep Singh Malhotra, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-CBIC. He has very fairly stated that in case, as regards the request of the petitioner for time in case the petitioner now appears before the concerned officer on or before 29.11.2021, he would be given a hearing.

In the circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioner appears before the officer concerned on 29.11.2021, he may file reply and make submissions both on law and merits and the officer concerned would decide the same by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.




(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

23.11.2021
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No



SAG[®]
INFOTECH